

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/006,952	5,952 11/05/2001		David Kammer	035451-0169 (3707.Palm)	035451-0169 (3707.Palm) 2782	
26371	7590	10/15/2004		EXAMINER		
FOLEY &	-	ER IN AVENUE	SAMS, MATTHEW C			
SUITE 3800		IN AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5308				2643		

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/006,952	KAMMER, DAVID				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Matthew C. Sams	2643				
The MAILING DATE of th Period for Reply	is communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available unde after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing de - If the period for reply specified above is le - If NO period for reply is specified above. If - Failure to reply within the set or extended	COMMUNICATION. r the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 tte of this communication. ss than thirty (30) days, a repline maximum statutory period to period for reply will, by statute three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE gradate of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communic	ation(s) filed on <u>11/5</u> /	<u>/2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL .	· · · <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allo 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are reject 7) □ Claim(s) is/are obj 8) □ Claim(s) are subje	is/are withdrawwed. ted. ected to.	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers			ı				
9) The specification is object	ed to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/5/2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
		drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See					
		ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj caminer. Note the attached Office	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies of the certification from the	None of: the priority documents the priority documents ted copies of the prior tell International Bureau	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) is have been received. Is have been received in Application of the certified copies not received.	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892		4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The use of the trademark BLUETOOTH® has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-13, 15-21, 23-29 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bork et al. (US-6,246,376 herein after, Bork).

Regarding claim 1, Bork discloses a method of communicating between a handheld computer and other local area computing devices have wireless communication capability. (Col. 1 lines 31-35) Bork discloses a handheld computer that identifies a plurality of other wireless local area computing devices, creating an

Application/Control Number: 10/006,952

Art Unit: 2643

identifier for the other devices, and listing the identifiers on a display that can be sorted by distance and direction. (Col. 1 lines 55-57, Col. 3 lines 44-47 and Col. 5 lines 49-54)

Regarding claim 2, Bork discloses a handheld computer that is configured to communicate using the BLUETOOTH® standard. (Col. 1 lines 31-35)

Regarding claim 3, Bork discloses a handheld computer that is configured to communicate using the IEEE 802.11 standard. (Col. 1 lines 31-35)

Regarding claim 4, Bork discloses a handheld computer that is configured to communicate using the RF signals. (Col. 1 lines 31-35)

Regarding claim 5, Bork discloses a handheld computer that is configured to communicate using the infrared signals. (Col. 1 lines 31-35)

Regarding claim 7, Bork discloses that one or more listed identifiers can be chosen for the sharing of information. (Col. 8 claim 7)

Regarding claim 8, Bork discloses a method of identifying and sharing information between a handheld computer and a group of local area computing devices having wireless communication capability within a specified distance. (Col. 3 lines 40-47) Bork discloses that a message can be transmitted to one or more local area computing devices having wireless communication capability within the specified distance. (Col. 4 lines 9-11)

Regarding claim 9, Bork discloses a handheld computer with a touch screen display. (Fig. 1 & 3, Col. 7 lines 49-50)

Regarding claim 10, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,952

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 11, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 3.

Regarding claim 12, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 4.

Regarding claim 13, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 5.

Regarding claim 15, Bork discloses that a message can be received from one or more local area computing devices having wireless communication capability within the specified distance. (Col. 4 lines 9-11)

Regarding claim 16, Bork discloses a housing, a processor, memory, a transmitter and a display. (Fig. 1 and 3 Col. 7 lines 41-55) It is well known in the art that when a list of computing devices is to be displayed, the processor must instruct the display how to display the list.

Regarding claim 17, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 9.

Regarding claim 18, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 2.

Regarding claim 19, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 3.

Regarding claim 20, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 4.

Regarding claim 21, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,952

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 23, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 1.

Regarding claim 24, Bork discloses a handheld computer, a list of indicators, a user interface and a display. Bork discloses that information used to sort the list by distance and direction. (Col. 1 lines 31-35, Col. 3 lines 44-47 and Fig. 3)

Regarding claim 25, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 9.

Regarding claim 26, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 2.

Regarding claim 27, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 3.

Regarding claim 28, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 4.

Regarding claim 29, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 5.

Regarding claim 32, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 6, 14, 22, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bork in view of Kikinis et al. (US-6,389,290 herein after, Kikinis).

Regarding claim 6, Bork discloses a method of sorting a list by distance and direction. (Col. 3 lines 44-47) Bork differs from the claimed invention in that he does not specifically state that electronic pinging provides the distance and direction.

However, Kikinis discloses using pinging to locate a mobile user in a network. (Col. 4 lines 40-47) At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to sort the list by distance and direction of Bork using pinging like Kikinis. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since it makes it possible to locate people easily and accurately. (Col. 4 lines 40-47)

Regarding claim 14, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 6.

Regarding claim 22, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 6.

Regarding claim 30, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 6.

Regarding claim 31, the limitations of the claim are rejected as being the same reason set forth in claim 6.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US-6,681,108 to Terry et al. Terry et al. discloses a network and method for identifying and sharing a common network location.

US-6,404,761 to Snelling et al. Snelling et al. discloses a communications web with personal communications links for PSTN subscribers.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew C. Sams whose telephone number is (703)305-0810. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703)305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MCS 10/5/04

> **GEORGE ENG** PRIMARY EXAMINER